PLANNING AND HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

APPLICATIONS UNDER VARIOUS ACTS / REGULATIONS – SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Application Number 14/00831/FUL

Address Site of Creevela Works, Parsonage Street

Representations

Following the report being finalised and placed on the website, three further letters have been received objecting to this application, one of these being received from Councillor Ben Curran and another from Veronica Hardstaff on behalf of Walkley Forum. A summary of their additional comments are listed below:-

- 1 Concerns raised about the short notice that residents have been given to attend the meeting and the fact that the meeting falls during half term when many people have their holidays planned;
- 2 A site visit would help Members appreciate the concerns raised by objectors;
- 3 Recommend that the application is deferred by a few weeks to allow local people to have their say;
- 4 The meeting with no formal notification to people who objected goes completely against all ideas of local democracy
- 5 Obvious flaw in the planning process that objectors are not directly contacted about amendments or notification of planning meetings but instead are expected to view the Council Website every day looking for updates
- 6 While the planning report states that there will be no significant highway issues and significantly less demand for on street parking than when the business was present, it ignores the fact that cars relating to the business were mainly parked during office hours when most resident's cars were not.
- 7 The planning committee should also consider the effects of additional parking from users of Walkley Library as there is no parking at the library.
- 8 The development should be subject to the UDP Transport (Parking Guidelines) requiring two off street parking places for dwellinghouses of 2 or more bedrooms. The development makes no attempt to comply with these guidelines.
- 9 It would appear that the spectre of losing the last appeal is having an adverse impact on the decision to be made this time.

Additional Plan

Plan No. CW/002b/2014 should be added to the list of approved documents

2. Application Number 14/01453/FUL

Address 264 Carter Knowle Road

Additional Representations

Five further representations have been received, one from the Carter Knowle & Millhouses Community Group

Summary of Points raised:

The amendments have not addressed resident's concerns with regard to on street car parking, vehicular and pedestrian conflicts and servicing implications for pedestrians

The fact that the current business is closing down should not provide an argument for concluding that the proposed development is bound to be profitable

The building remains too large and out of scale and character with the surrounding area.

The building would dominate the area

The proposal would undermine the viability of the existing Shopping Area.

Manoeuvring for service vehicles would create vehicular conflict.

The proposal would still result in loss of privacy to neighbouring dwellings

There may be noise impact from plant and delivery vehicles.

The absence of a shop between demolition and re-opening will adversely affect footfalls to the neighbouring shops therefore endangering local business viability.

Amended Condition 6 (External storage)

No goods, materials or apparatus for the movement and handling of goods, of any description, shall be stored or displayed wholly or partly outside the building within the site of the development.

4. Application Number 14/01031/CHU

Address 176-178 Main Street, Grenoside

Representations

A 725 signature petition supporting the café opening on Sundays with outdoor seating to the rear has been submitted. (Note that the outdoor seating refers to a different application)

5. Application Number 14/03284/FUL

Address Oasis Pizza, 204 Whitham Road

Additional Representation

Following the receipt of direct representation from a local resident concerning the impact of late night noise, disturbance and litter, Councillor Stuart Wattam has stated that there is considerable evidence of such impacts and has requested a deferral of a decision on the application to allow for the evidence to be provided.

This page is intentionally left blank